

### **REMARKS**

This paper responds to the Office Action of April 1, 2010, in which the Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 6, 7, 10-11, 14 and 25-34 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and objected to claims 3-5 as being dependent on a rejected base claims.

By this paper, claims 1, 25, and 34 have been amended and claims 40-42 have been added. In view of the amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

### **Interview Summary**

The telephone interview between Examiner Theodore J. Stigell and the undersigned is appreciated. During the interview, the Examiner proposed claim amendments to independent claims 1 and 25 that would place the present application in condition for allowance. By this paper, claims 1 and 25 have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's proposal. Accordingly, it is understood they are in condition for allowance, and that a notice of allowance will be issued in due course. However, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned or Adam Bramwell (612-492-6692) if any issues remain.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner's indication that claims 3-5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims is appreciated. New claim 40 represents previous claim 3 rewritten in independent form. Claims 41-42 depend from claim 40. Allowance of claims 40-42 is thus respectfully requested.

### **Conclusion**

No additional fees should be due in connection with this paper, but the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees, including extension fees or other relief which may be required, or credit any overpayment and notify us of same, to Deposit Account No. 04-1420.

The application now stands in allowable form, and

the formal notice of allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Customer Number 74207

Date:

May 21, 2010

By:

David E. Bruhn

David E. Bruhn, Reg. No. 36,762  
(612) 340-6317